

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737)
claudestern@quinnemanuel.com
Cole Malmberg (Bar No. 305250)
colemalmberg@quinnemanuel.com
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, California 94065
Telephone: (650) 801-5000
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

Tigran Guledjian (Bar No. 207613)
tigranguledjian@quinnmanuel.com
Valerie Roddy (Bar No. 235163)
valerieroddy@quinnmanuel.com
Scott Florance (Bar No. 227512)
scottflorance@quinnmanuel.com
Jordan B. Kaericher (Bar No. 265953)
jordankaericher@quinnmanuel.com
865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017
Telephone: (213) 443-3000
Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

Attorneys for Defendants

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**FREE STREAM MEDIA CORP.
d/b/a SAMBA TV.**

Case No. 17-cv-02107-RS

Plaintiff,

V.

ALPHONSO INC., ASHISH CHORDIA,
RAGHU KODIGE, and LAMPROS
KALAMPOUKAS.

Defendants.

**DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR
JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION TO DISMISS FREE STREAM
MEDIA CORP.'S FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

Date: August 31, 2017
Time: 1:30 p.m.
Place: Courtroom 3, 17th Floor
Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg

REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Defendants Alphonso, Inc. (“Alphonso”), Ashish Chordia, Raghu Kodige, and Lampros Kalampoukas (collectively, the “Individual Defendants” and, with Alphonso, “Defendants”) respectfully request that the Court take judicial notice of the following documents cited in their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff Free Stream Media Corp. d/b/a Samba TV’s First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement (ECF No. 166).

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Courts may take judicial notice of facts that are “not subject to reasonable dispute because [they] . . . can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.” Fed. R. Evid. 201(b)(2). Judicial notice is proper and available “at any stage of the proceeding,” Fed. R. Evid. 201(d), including on a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss. *See United States v. Corinthian Colleges*, 655 F.3d 984, 999 (9th Cir. 2011) (allowing judicial notice of “matters of public record” on Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss where the facts to be noticed are “not subject to reasonable dispute”); *Lee v. City of Los Angeles*, 250 F.3d 668, 688-89 (9th Cir. 2001) (court may consider “matters of public record” subject to judicial notice on a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) motion without converting the motion to a motion for summary judgment).

18 Defendants seek judicial notice of the following documents, which are judicially noticeable
19 for the reasons set forth below:

20 1. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent
21 No. 9,386,356 (the ““356 patent”). *See* Declaration of Valerie Roddy, filed concurrently herewith
22 (“Roddy Decl.”), ¶ 2. The court “may take judicial notice of court filings and other matters of
23 public record.” *Reyn’s Pasta Bella, LLC v. Visa USA, Inc.*, 442 F.3d 741, 746 (9th Cir. 2006).
24 “Patents are matters of public record and the proper subject of judicial notice.” *X One, Inc. v.*
25 *Uber Techs., Inc.*, No. 16-CV-06050-LHK, 2017 WL 878381, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 6, 2017)
26 (internal citations omitted). Exhibit A is therefore judicially noticeable.

27 2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of a Memorandum Opinion
28 and Order filed March 29, 2017 in this action (ECF No. 125). See Roddy Decl. ¶ 3. “[A] court

1 may take judicial notice of its own records.” *United States v. Author Servs., Inc.*, 804 F.2d 1520,
 2 1422 (9th Cir. 1986), *overruled on other grounds by United States v. Jose*, 131 F.3d 1325 (9th Cir.
 3 1997). Exhibit B is therefore judicially noticeable.

4 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of U.S. Provisional Patent
 5 Application No. 61/118,286. *See* Roddy Decl. ¶ 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and
 6 correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,948,061. *Id.* ¶ 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a true and
 7 correct copy of U.S. Public Patent Application No. 2006/0195860. *Id.* ¶ 6. Exhibits C, D, and E
 8 are publicly-available patent applications and a patent cited on the face of the ‘356 patent. The
 9 Court may take judicial notice of references cited on the face of the patent being challenged. *See*,
 10 *e.g.*, *Radware, Ltd. v. A10 Networks, Inc.*, No. C-13-02021-RMW, 2014 WL 61047, at *3 (N.D.
 11 Cal. Jan. 7, 2014) (courts may take “judicial notice of prior art references, patents, and file
 12 histories”); *Parker v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.*, No. 11 C 5658, 2012 WL 74855, at *3 (N.D. Ill. Jan.
 13 10, 2012) (“The Court takes judicial notice of this publicly available prior art reference.”).
 14 Exhibits C, D, and E are therefore judicially noticeable.

15 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit F** is a true and correct copy of the Original Complaint
 16 for Patent Infringement filed in *Blue Spike, LLC v. Free Stream Media Corp.*, No. 6:12-CV-527
 17 (E.D. Tex.) on August 14, 2012. The court “may take judicial notice of court filings and other
 18 matters of public record.” *Reyn’s Pasta Bella*, 442 F.3d at 746. Exhibit F is therefore judicially
 19 noticeable.

20 For the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant this
 21 Request for Judicial Notice.

22 DATED: July 27, 2017

23 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
 24 SULLIVAN, LLP

25 By /s/ Valerie Roddy

26 Valerie Roddy

27 Attorneys for Defendants